UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,052	06/14/2006	Peter Dytrych	NL03 1445 US1	8831
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 12/19/200	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	FAHERTY, COREY S		
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,052	DYTRYCH, PETER	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	coley of tallerty	2100			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orighthan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection filed after a filed	nsideration and/or search (see NO				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	9 , 3 9			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant / monament (1 102 024).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6-10 and 12-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Eddie P Chan/	Corey S Faherty				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2183	Examiner Art Unit: 2183				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 13 requires further search and consideration. The claim previously recited a computer system for performing a method when instructions are loaded therein. The claim now recites a computers system storing instructions that perform a method. The amendment appears to be an attempt to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection made in the final office action. To overcome this rejection, the claim should be amended to include a storage medium as recited on page 10 of the specification. This will remove the possibility that the claim is directed to a carrier signal and the claim will therefore be statutory.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant alleges that support for the term "computer readable medium" exists in the original teachings of the application, but has cited only support for terms such as "storage medium", "floppy disk", and "CD-ROM". Because the term "computer readable medium" includes embodiments outside the scope of these specific examples, the term is not supported and cannot be used in the claim language.

Applicant further alleges that the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection is inappropriate because a memory cannot be reasonably interpreted as software only. In doing so, applicant has explicitly stated that the claims are intended to include hardware. The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 1-3, 6-10 and 13-15 for being directed to an abstract idea is therefore withdrawn.

Applicant next alleges that the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections are inappropriate because the two references are not appropriately combined. However, applicant has failed to respond to the positions conveyed by the examiner in the final office action, and the argument is therefore not persuasive.